

Reimagining Missional Leadership in African Pentecostal Churches

Michael Pamfwiri Mkandawire

Abstract

The rapid growth and expanding public presence of Pentecostal Christianity in Africa have intensified questions concerning the theological coherence, ethical credibility, and missional adequacy of contemporary leadership practices. This study examines missional leadership in contemporary African Pentecostal contexts, particularly ecclesial settings shaped by charismatic spirituality, rapid institutional growth, and increasing social engagement. Employing a constructive theological method of critical synthesis, it brings ecclesiological models, Pentecostal pneumatology, African Pentecostal scholarship, and selected contemporary leadership theories into interdisciplinary conversation. It argues that missional leadership should be understood as a Spirit-empowered praxis through which leaders and ecclesial communities participate in the *missio Dei* by integrating proclamation, communal formation, ethical accountability, contextual discernment, and transformative public engagement. Its specific contribution is a constructive Spirit-empowered missional leadership framework that critically appropriates ecclesiological and leadership resources through a Pentecostal lens, rather than treating theological and organisational perspectives as parallel discourses. The study concludes that the future credibility and missional vitality of African Pentecostalism depend not merely on numerical expansion or institutional effectiveness, but on forming leaders who are pneumatologically grounded, theologically discerning, ethically accountable, relationally participatory, and contextually responsive to contemporary African life.

Keywords: Missional Leadership; African Pentecostalism; Pneumatology; Ecclesiology; *Missio Dei*

How to cite this article: Mkandawire, Michael Pamfwiri. "Reimagining Missional Leadership in African Pentecostal Churches." *Pentecost Journal of Theology and Mission* 6, no. 2 (2026): 27-55.

Introduction

Missional leadership¹ has emerged as a critical theological and practical concern in contemporary African Pentecostalism amid ecclesial growth, socio-cultural transformation, and the Church's expanding public presence. The expansion and growing public visibility of Pentecostal Christianity across many African settings have

¹ See the use of "Spirit-empowered leadership" and "missional leadership" in this study as conceptually convergent. While the latter emphasises participation in the *missio Dei*, the former highlights the pneumatological grounding of such participation. In Pentecostal theology, mission is inseparable from the Holy Spirit's empowering and directing work; therefore, the two terms are used interchangeably to reflect both theological orientation and practical expression.

intensified questions about forming leadership capable of sustaining theologically coherent, ethically accountable, and contextually responsive participation in God's mission. Yet numerical growth and institutional expansion do not necessarily produce leadership that is theologically formed, ethically accountable, communally participatory, or adequately equipped to discern the Church's participation in the *missio Dei*. The central problem concerns a potential disjunction between the vitality of African Pentecostal Christianity and the formation of missional leadership capable of integrating Spirit empowerment, theological discernment, ecclesial accountability, and contextually responsive participation in God's mission. This concern is sharpened by documented challenges surrounding leadership development, succession planning, and intergenerational continuity in African Pentecostalism.² These pressures are neither uniform across African Pentecostalism nor equally evident in every church or national context, but they warrant sustained constructive theological reflection.

Against this background, the central question is how missional leadership might be constructively reimagined for contemporary African Pentecostal contexts in a manner that integrates Pentecostal pneumatology, ecclesial formation, ethical accountability, contextual discernment, and participation in the *missio Dei*. It addresses this question through a constructive theological method of critical synthesis, bringing ecclesiological models, Pentecostal pneumatology, African Pentecostal scholarship, and selected leadership theories into interdisciplinary conversation. Critical synthesis entails evaluating these resources in relation to one another, identifying points of convergence and tension, and constructively integrating selected insights under the governing theological claims of the *missio Dei* and the Spirit's agency in the Church's life and mission. Avery Dulles' ecclesiological models provide an important heuristic resource for examining the Church's identity and mission. They are critically reinterpreted through a Pentecostal emphasis on the active agency of the Holy Spirit. This is not an empirical study of a single denomination or national setting; rather, it engages broader patterns and recurring leadership pressures across diverse African Pentecostal contexts without treating African Pentecostalism as a uniform ecclesial phenomenon.

The fundamental argument is that missional leadership should be understood as a Spirit-empowered praxis through which leaders and ecclesial communities participate in the *missio Dei* by integrating proclamation, communal formation, ethical accountability, contextual discernment, and transformative public engagement. Drawing critically on Dulles' ecclesiological models, Pentecostal understandings of

² Kimion Tagwirei, "Battling with the Baton: (Dis)connecting Today and Tomorrow's Leaders in African Pentecostalism," *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies* 79, no. 1 (2023): 1–3, <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v79i1.9179>.

the Holy Spirit, the Fivefold Ministry of Ephesians 4:11–13, African Pentecostal scholarship, and selected leadership theories, it develops a constructive Spirit-empowered missional leadership framework in which theological and organisational perspectives are critically integrated rather than treated as parallel discourses. On this basis, the future credibility and missional vitality of African Pentecostalism depend not simply on numerical expansion or institutional effectiveness, but on forming leaders who are pneumatologically grounded, theologically discerning, ethically accountable, relationally participatory, and contextually responsive.

Ecclesiological Foundations for Missional Leadership

The theological base of missions is being tested from all angles. In attempting to align with contemporary cultural expectations, some theological positions have weakened the urgency of mission, particularly regarding the lostness of those outside Christ.³ This moment calls for a renewed emphasis on missions and the adoption of contextually relevant models of missional leadership. Avery Dulles proposes five models of the Church: institutional, mystical communion, sacrament, herald, and servant, which together provide a multidimensional framework for understanding ecclesial identity and mission.⁴ Although rooted in a Roman Catholic perspective, these models retain significant analytical value. From a Pentecostal standpoint, they are engaged critically and reinterpreted in light of pneumatological emphasis.

From this perspective, Dulles' work serves as a heuristic framework through which African Pentecostal theology can reflect more deeply on its own ecclesial identity. By bringing these models into conversation with Pentecostal pneumatology, particularly the emphasis on the active work of the Holy Spirit, this analysis appropriates what is helpful while remaining faithful to Pentecostal theological convictions.

Across African Pentecostal contexts, ecclesiology is inseparable from lived experience, communal identity, and socio-cultural realities that shape how ecclesial life embodies and participates in God's mission. Historically, Christianity across the continent has functioned as both a religious system and a formative influence on social and communal structures. In his paper reflecting upon the role of the Christian Church as a natural factor in the holistic development of society, Ioan Stinghe states:

Church's role in society is "to ready for the Lord a people well prepared for Him" (Luke 1:17) for His second coming. To accomplish

³ John York, *Missions from the Two Thirds World. A Study Guide* (Springfield, MO: Global University, 2005), 18.

⁴ Avery Dulles, *Models of the Church* (New York: Doubleday, 1986), 22–24.

this work, the Church is involved in society in existential areas such as social, health, education and spiritual.⁵

Such an insight challenges reductionist views of mission by affirming the Church's holistic calling. In these communities, where life is deeply embedded in social and economic realities, this perspective emphasises the inseparability of proclamation and social engagement.

To "prepare a people for the Lord" must be understood not just as individual salvation, but as the formation of communities that embody the transformative reign of God in every sphere of life. Holistic engagement is inseparable from the work of the Holy Spirit, who empowers the believers for proclamation and for tangible expressions of justice, healing, and restoration. Thus, missional leadership must resist any tendency toward spiritual reductionism and instead embrace a Spirit-empowered vision of mission that engages the full spectrum of human existence.

At the same time, such holistic engagement inevitably raises the question of how the Church maintains its distinct identity while remaining fully present within society. This tension becomes clearer in the experience of the early Christian community.

Thus, the early Christians had a sense of extra-territorial (Phil. 3:20) outside the existing social order, they were "travelers" or "foreigners", but they remained in the society (in the world) fulfilling all the daily duties responsibly, putting divine authority over the human one, being spiritually detached.⁶

Stinghe's observation reflects the enduring tension of being 'in the world' yet not 'of the world,' calling for a Spirit-led engagement that avoids both withdrawal and uncritical assimilation. For African Pentecostalism, this necessitates a form of missional leadership that is both spiritually grounded and socially present.

For Pentecostal communities, this dual identity must be navigated through a Spirit-led discernment that neither withdraws from societal engagement nor uncritically assimilates into prevailing cultural patterns. The early Christian posture of responsible participation without spiritual compromise provides a compelling model for contemporary missional leadership. It suggests that faithful witness is not expressed through isolation, but through transformative presence within society.

In many African societies, where communal life is deeply valued, this insight carries even greater weight. Missional leadership nurtures believers who are spiritually

⁵ Ioan Stinghe, "The Holistic Development of Society: The Contributions of the Christian Church," in *Proceedings of the Harvard Square Symposium: The Holistic Society – Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, vol. 3 (Princeton: NJ: Research Association for Interdisciplinary Studies, 2017), 90, <https://zenodo.org/record/1435117/files/006.pdf>.

⁶ Ioan Stinghe, *The Holistic Development of Society*, 92.

grounded yet socially engaged, individuals who express the values of the Kingdom of God within everyday structures of life. Such an approach affirms that the Church's witness is most effective not at the margins of society, but at its very centre, where faith is lived out in visible, responsible, and Spirit-empowered ways. Consequently, this dynamic informs contemporary ecclesiological reflection and provides a framework for engaging models such as those proposed by Dulles.

The institutional model understands the Church as a structured community with defined roles, governance, and doctrinal coherence.⁷ While this provides necessary order, Pentecostal theology resists rigid institutionalism that may suppress the dynamic leading of the Holy Spirit. At the same time, "in the institutionalist ecclesiology, the powers and functions of the Church are generally divided into three: teaching, sanctifying, and governing."⁸ Accordingly, the church's role is double-edged: that of ministering and governing. In a sense, there are the governing and the governed; the minister and the ministered. In response to the notion above, Dulles contends that the church is a society of unequals.⁹ This description raises an important question concerning the relationship between differentiated ecclesial authority and the equal standing of believers in Christ, particularly in light of Galatians 3:28.

Galatians 3:28 does not eliminate differentiated ministries or responsibilities, but its affirmation of unity in Christ challenges leadership arrangements in which social or gender distinctions become grounds for exclusion or unequal ecclesial worth. This question carries particular significance for African Pentecostal leadership, where claims concerning spiritual gifting and the agency of the Holy Spirit may coexist with inherited assumptions about gender and authority. J. Kwabena Asamoah-Gyadu's account of African Independent Churches is instructive in this regard, since he identifies the creation of space for women's leadership on the basis of charismatic experience as one of their notable contributions to African Christianity.¹⁰ Allan Anderson similarly observes that "in African churches, the leadership patterns that sometimes follow African patterns are often also biblical patterns, when the leader is a man or woman upon whom the Spirit has come."¹¹ Missional leadership should therefore attend seriously to Spirit-enabled vocation and gifting while critically

⁷ Dulles, *Models of the Church*, 22-24.

⁸ Dulles, *Models of the Church*, 24.

⁹ Dulles, *Models of the Church*, 25-26.

¹⁰ J. Kwabena Asamoah-Gyadu, "Spirit and Empowerment," in *African Initiated Christianity and the Decolonisation of Development: Sustainable Development in Pentecostal and Independent Churches*, ed. Philipp Öhlmann, Wilhelm Gräb, and Marie-Luise Frost (London: Routledge, 2020), 36, <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367823825>

¹¹ Allan Anderson, "African Initiated Churches of the Spirit and Pneumatology," *Word & World* 23, no. 2 (Spring 2003): 180, <https://wordandworld.luthersem.edu/issue/the-holy-spirit/>.

examining social hierarchies that restrict responsible participation in the Church's mission.

Such a model can help the Church remain effective while preserving ecclesial coherence. Pentecostal openness to the Spirit does not eliminate the need for agreed doctrine, responsible governance, and shared institutional purpose; rather, these structures should remain sufficiently flexible to support discernment of the Spirit's leading.¹² Comparisons between Church and state institutions must nevertheless be handled cautiously, because close identification with political authority can render the Church spiritually irrelevant and institutionally fragmented. By contrast, the Church's participation in the reign of God announced in Luke 4:18 entails personal and social transformation and liberation from slavery and oppression.¹³

Yet the institutional dimension of the Church must remain subordinate to the dynamic leading of the Holy Spirit. While structure provides necessary order, Pentecostal ecclesiology resists any form of rigid institutionalism that quenches spiritual vitality (cf. 1 Thessalonians 5:19).¹⁴ Leadership structures are therefore understood not as ends in themselves, but as Spirit-enabled frameworks that facilitate participation in the mission of God. In African Pentecostal contexts, this balance is particularly crucial, as excessive institutional control may hinder the spontaneous and charismatic expressions that often characterise vibrant church life.

Pentecostal Reinterpretation of Ecclesiological Models

The following discussion re-examines these ecclesiological models through a Pentecostal theological lens, with particular attention to the Holy Spirit's role in shaping the life and mission of the Church. While the institutional model emphasises structure and order, it does not fully capture the relational and experiential dimensions of ecclesial life. This limitation opens the way for a complementary perspective, namely, the Church as mystical communion.

According to Roman Catholic ecclesiology, Arnold Rademacher maintains that the Church is, at its inner core, a community (*Gemeinschaft*) and, at its outer level, a society (*Gesellschaft*).¹⁵ Thus, the visible structure of the Church serves the realisation of its deeper communal reality, which corresponds to the Kingdom of God and the communion of saints. This model finds strong biblical grounding in Paul's depiction of the Church as the Body of Christ (Romans 12; 1 Corinthians 12), emphasising mutual dependence and unity among believers. Pentecostal theology deepens this

¹² Doug Bandow, *Beyond Intentions: A Biblical View of Politics* (Westchester, IL: Crossway Books, 1988), 15.

¹³ Bandow, *Beyond Intentions: A Biblical View of Politics*, 95.

¹⁴ Scripture references are used in a general theological sense and are not tied to a specific translation.

¹⁵ Dulles, *Models of the Church*, 33.

model by spotlighting that such communion is not merely relational but also pneumatological. The unity of believers is understood as being constituted and sustained by the indwelling presence of the Holy Spirit, who binds the community together in living fellowship. This Spirit-formed *koinonia* transcends social, ethnic, and economic divisions, an especially significant reality across Pentecostal communities in Africa marked by diversity and, at times, fragmentation. Consequently, missional leadership must nurture communities that are socially connected and spiritually animated by the presence and activity of the Spirit.

Although the model of mystical communion emphasises relational belonging, it does not fully account for how the Church visibly embodies divine grace. This limitation opens the way for understanding the Church as a sacrament. Dulles describes the Church as a sign and instrument of grace, holding together both visible structure and spiritual reality.¹⁶ From a Pentecostal perspective, this sacramental dimension is reinterpreted through lived experience rather than formal ritualism. While affirming practices such as water baptism and the Lord's Supper, Pentecostal theology emphasises the immediacy of divine encounter through the Holy Spirit. As a living community, the Church thus becomes a living sign of God's presence, manifested in symbolic acts but also in ongoing experiences of transformation, healing, and empowerment.

Among African Pentecostal communities, this experiential dimension is particularly significant because they expect to encounter God's presence tangibly in the community's life. Missional leadership must therefore hold visibility and vitality together, ensuring that the Church remains both a recognisable sign of grace and a dynamic expression of the Spirit's work. At the same time, attention to visible forms must not displace the inward spiritual reality to which those forms bear witness.

While the sacramental model highlights the Church as a visible sign of grace, it must be complemented by a clear emphasis on proclamation. In the herald model, the Church is fundamentally called to proclaim the gospel entrusted to it.¹⁷ In Pentecostal theology, proclamation is inseparable from Spirit-empowered witness. Spirit-empowered leadership encourages proclamation that is both faithful to Scripture and open to the dynamic activity of the Holy Spirit, recognising that the credibility of the message is reinforced through lived encounter. Accordingly, missional leadership plays a crucial role in ministry. The Church's mission centres on the proclamation of the Word of God to the whole world.¹⁸ Pentecostal theology intensifies this heralding function by insisting that proclamation is inseparable from Spirit-empowered witness, where the gospel is confirmed through the demonstration of the Spirit's power (cf. 1

¹⁶ Dulles, *Models of the Church*.

¹⁷ Dulles, *Models of the Church*, 56.

¹⁸ Dulles, *Models of the Church*, 56.

Corinthians 2:4), a reality frequently expressed through healing, deliverance, and prophetic ministry. This calls for a leadership that supports a form of proclamation that is both faithful to Scripture and open to the dynamic activity of the Holy Spirit, recognising that the credibility of the message is often reinforced through lived encounter.

Yet proclamation alone does not exhaust the mission of the Church; it must be expressed in concrete acts of love and service. This brings into focus the model of the Church as servant, grounded in Jesus' mission as articulated in Luke 4:18–19. Here, Jesus presents a Spirit-anointed ministry marked by proclamation, liberation, healing, and restoration.

This passage reveals that the Kingdom of God is not merely announced but enacted, engaging the social, physical, and spiritual dimensions of human life.¹⁹ From a Pentecostal perspective, such integration is inseparable from the empowering work of the Holy Spirit, who enables both proclamation and its visible outworking. As Christopher J.H. Wright observes, Jesus' ministry reflects continuity with the Servant motif in Isaiah, where the authenticity of mission is demonstrated through observable acts of transformation.²⁰ The inseparability of word and deed is therefore vital to understanding the nature of God's mission.

Across Pentecostal communities in Africa, this is expressed through holistic ministries that address spiritual, social, and material needs, reflecting the ongoing mission of Christ in both word and deed. Missional leadership should demonstrate a Spirit-empowered *diakonia* that moves beyond charity toward transformation, ensuring that acts of service are both compassionate and redemptive.

Flowing from this premise, the missional identity of the Church may be expressed through the interrelated dimensions of *koinonia*, *kerygma*, *diakonia*, and *martyria*. *Koinonia* reflects the communal life of the Church, grounded in fellowship with Christ and one another, and serves as a catalyst for mission.²¹ *Kerygma* centers on the proclamation of the gospel, affirming the death and resurrection of Jesus Christ and His Lordship (1 Corinthians 15:3–4). *Diakonia* expresses the Church's commitment to service, addressing the needs of others as an essential dimension of its identity. *Martyria*, empowered by the Holy Spirit (Acts 1:8), embodies the Church's witness through both word and deed.

¹⁹ Stephen Barry, "The Year of Jubilee: A Hermeneutic for Social and Moral Transformation in South Africa," *In die Skriflig* 45, no. 4 (2011): 869–870.

²⁰ Christopher J. H. Wright, *Knowing Jesus Through the Old Testament*, 2nd ed. (Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2014), 145.

²¹ Charles Van Engen, *God's Missionary People* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House), 91.

Together, these dimensions provide a holistic framework for understanding the Church's mission, integrating community, proclamation, service, and witness. A more adequate approach requires engagement that promotes a balanced expression of these elements, ensuring that the Church remains both theologically grounded and actively involved in God's mission within the world. Having explored the ecclesiological foundations of the Church's identity and mission, it becomes necessary to consider how these theological insights are made visible in leadership practices within contemporary Pentecostal contexts.

Contemporary Perspectives on Missional Leadership and the *Missio Dei*

Missional leadership is exercised within the Church but extends beyond it through the Church's participation in God's mission. Since mission originates in God, ecclesial life must be understood as derivative of and accountable to God's redemptive initiative. Correspondingly, Nelus Niemandt claims, "the church is mission and organises all of its activities around the process of participating in God's mission, the source and base of authority and power in the church."²² Missional leadership is about gifted leadership using that authority and power in the church. This is not about authority and power in a negative sense, but in providing a conducive direction. According to Lal Thla Muana, missional leadership is, in principle, none other than biblical leadership, but it is, significantly, lifestyle discipleship.²³ Roxburgh and Romanuk observe that "Missional leadership understands how to nurture and release an innovative spiritual gift of leadership that has 'missional imagination' amongst the people of God."²⁴ Niemandt further argues,

When the understanding of leadership is based on the church's life in the Trinity, and the calling of the community of faithful disciples who follow Jesus in the transformation of people and all creation, and when power and authority are understood as empowerment to serve and participate in God's mission, the understanding of leadership follows a different route.²⁵

This illuminates key elements of influence, participation, direction, and enablement. There is a realisation that leadership is a God-given task. Building on this understanding, missional leadership involves forming leaders and communities

²² Nelus Niemandt, "Missional Leadership," *HTS Religion & Society Series 7* (Cape Town: AOSIS, 2019), 68, <https://doi.org/10.4102/aosis.2019.BK108.05>.

²³ Lal Thla Muana, *Missional Leadership: A Case Study of the Representative Congregations of Methodist, Presbyterian, and Other Churches in Tahan-Kalaymyo, Sagaing Region* (PhD diss., Asbury Theological Seminary, 2017), 7.

²⁴ Kirk James Franklin, *A Paradigm for Global Mission Leadership: The Journey of the Wycliffe Global Alliance* (PhD diss., University of Pretoria, 2016), 57, https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/53075/Franklin_Paradigm_2016 (accessed 17 February 2026).

²⁵ Niemandt, "Missional Leadership," 70.

capable of participating in God's mission through Spirit-led transformation. In this case, missional leadership is "the transformation of people and institutions to participate, through meaningful relations and in the power of the Spirit, in God's mission."²⁶ The centrality of the Holy Spirit redefines the nature and practice of missional leadership, empowering, directing, and sustaining change within both leaders and communities.²⁷ As such, missional leadership reshapes ecclesial identity and practice, operating across both personal and corporate dimensions.

Spirit-empowered leadership is both transformative and relational. This can be derived from the model of the church as mystical communion. Church as community is relational in nature. Missional leaders strive to bring about positive relational networks within the complex relationships in any given setup.²⁸ Leaders who are missional value individuals and allow them to express their God-given giftings and talents for the benefit of the kingdom of God. A missional church, led by missional leaders, recognises that simply running church programs is not the end of mission. Rather, it is primarily meant to involve people in order to grasp the saving purpose of God through involvement in the *missio Dei*. In the early church, the apostolic ministry was characterised by being empowered to engage people (Acts 1:8).

The pneumatological character of missional leadership also requires attention to the differentiated ways in which Spirit-enabled ministry contributes to the formation and mobilisation of the ecclesial community. This concern brings the discussion into direct engagement with Ephesians 4:11–13 and its significance for Pentecostal understandings of gifted leadership.

Fivefold Ministry, Leadership Formation, and African Pentecostal Mission

Ephesians 4:11–13 provides a significant framework for understanding missional leadership through the interrelated ministries of apostles, prophets, evangelists, pastors, and teachers. The theological significance of the passage lies not simply in the identification of distinct ministries but in their orientation toward the equipping of the saints, the building up of the body of Christ, and the attainment of ecclesial maturity. Read within a Pentecostal framework, this equipping dynamic suggests that Spirit-enabled leadership is fundamentally participatory and formative. Its purpose is not to monopolise ministry but to cultivate the capacity of the wider body to serve. The plurality of ministries named in the text further challenges models of leadership that locate ecclesial agency exclusively in a single dominant office or personality. Accordingly, the Fivefold Ministry may be approached as a theological framework in which differentiated gifting serves communal formation, shared participation, and the

²⁶ Niemandt, *Missional Leadership*, 73.

²⁷ Niemandt, *Missional Leadership*, 74.

²⁸ Niemandt, *Missional Leadership*, 82.

Church's missionary vocation. Its missional significance therefore rests not simply on the recognition of gifted leaders, but on whether leadership equips the whole community to participate faithfully in God's mission.

This framework acquires particular significance when brought into conversation with Peter White's missiological study of Classical Ghanaian Pentecostal churches. Drawing specifically on the Christ Apostolic Church International, The Apostolic Church-Ghana, and The Church of Pentecost, White demonstrates that leadership within these churches is substantially understood through the Fivefold Ministry of apostles, prophets, evangelists, pastors, and teachers.²⁹ His analysis moves the discussion beyond an abstract appeal to Ephesians 4 by locating Fivefold Ministry within identifiable African Pentecostal ecclesial structures and practices. Missiologically, White associates apostolic ministry with missionary expansion, church planting, and leadership formation; prophetic ministry with discerning divine purposes and direction for the Church's mission; evangelistic ministry with gospel propagation; and pastoral and teaching ministries with nurture and discipleship.³⁰ Ghanaian Pentecostal practice thus illustrates how charismatic gifting, ecclesial structure, leadership formation, and missionary vocation may intersect within African Pentecostalism. Significantly, White's argument does not terminate with the identification of spiritual gifts or ministerial callings; he maintains that such gifts must be nurtured and developed through mentoring and appropriate theological education so that their ultimate orientation is participation in the *missio Dei*.

White's analysis also creates space for further constructive reflection on the relationship between charismatic gifting, ecclesial office, and missional participation. His study shows that Classical Ghanaian Pentecostal churches distinguish between leadership gifts and supportive gifts and, for purposes of ecclesial and administrative order, locate Fivefold Ministry within identifiable structures of authority and responsibility.³¹ Yet the equipping logic of Ephesians 4:12-13 raises a further theological question that extends beyond White's immediate analysis: do such structures consistently mobilise the whole people of God for ministry, or can the institutionalisation of charismatic office inadvertently concentrate ecclesial agency in recognised leaders? The existence of Fivefold Ministry structures does not, by itself, guarantee missional leadership. A Spirit-empowered missional reading must therefore evaluate these ministries according to whether they equip the saints, cultivate communal discernment, nurture mature discipleship, and release the wider body of Christ into meaningful participation in God's mission. The decisive criterion

²⁹ Peter White, "A Missional Study of Ghanaian Pentecostal Churches' Leadership and Leadership Formation," *HTS Theologiese Studies/Theological Studies* 71, no. 3 (2015): 1-2, <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v71i3.2865>.

³⁰ White, "Missional Study," 5-6.

³¹ White, "Missional Study," 2-5.

is not simply whether apostles, prophets, evangelists, pastors, and teachers are formally recognised, but whether their ministries generate mature, participating, discerning, and missionally engaged communities.

For African Pentecostal contexts, the constructive value of the Fivefold Ministry consequently lies in its capacity to hold together charismatic diversity, communal equipping, leadership formation, and missionary participation. This interpretation resonates with White's insistence that the discovery of a spiritual gift or calling is insufficient unless that gift is intentionally nurtured through mentoring and theological education toward participation in the *missio Dei*.³² An equipping orientation centred on the formation of the whole body provides a theological basis for relational formation, mentorship, and intergenerational leadership development, since gifted leadership fulfils its missional purpose by identifying, equipping, accompanying, and releasing others in ministry. Where this equipping and formative function is neglected, churches may become vulnerable to leadership dependency, succession fragility, doctrinal immaturity, and the concentration of ecclesial agency in a limited number of charismatic personalities. Properly construed, therefore, the Fivefold Ministry is not merely a catalogue of ministerial offices but a Spirit-enabled architecture for forming a mature, participatory, and missionary Church.

This understanding of the Fivefold Ministry ultimately depends upon a deeper Pentecostal conviction concerning the agency of the Holy Spirit. The Spirit's work is not simply doctrinal but experiential and formative, grounding the Church's mission, leadership, and ecclesial identity. The maturation of the Church and its participation in the *missio Dei* therefore cannot be separated from the Spirit who empowers, equips, directs, and sustains the believing community. In this sense, Spirit-enabled ministry is not an ancillary dimension of missional leadership but its pneumatological foundation.

Yet this theological claim must be considered against changing emphases evident in segments of contemporary Pentecostal Christianity. The historic emphasis on Spirit baptism and empowerment for witness increasingly exists alongside, and at times is overshadowed by, preaching that foregrounds material prosperity and financial blessing. Such developments raise important theological and missiological concerns where the formative and missionary significance of Spirit baptism recedes from the centre of Pentecostal identity and practice. More specifically, Denzil R. Miller highlights a related concern in his analysis of Assemblies of God churches in Africa, noting the significant number of adherents who have not experienced Spirit baptism.³³ The resulting tension is not purely statistical but theological: a Pentecostal tradition

³² White, "Missional Study," 6–8.

³³ Denzil R. Miller, *Teaching in the Spirit* (Springfield, MO: AIA Publications, 2009).

that confesses Spirit empowerment while marginalising its experiential and missional significance risks creating a disjunction between its doctrinal identity and ecclesial practice.

Luke-Acts closely relates Spirit empowerment to witness and participation in God's mission. Acts 1:4–5 presents Jesus instructing the disciples to await the Father's promise, while Acts 1:8 links the coming of the Spirit with power for witness. Acts 2 then narrates the communal reception of the Spirit in a multilingual setting that anticipates the transnational horizon of the Church's mission. Robert P. Menzies accordingly emphasises the proclamatory significance of the Pentecost event, arguing that its outcome should not be reduced to praise directed toward God but understood, above all, in relation to proclamation.³⁴ Classical Pentecostal interpretation has also understood this Lukan pattern to support a distinction between conversion and Spirit baptism, with the latter construed as a subsequent empowering experience oriented particularly toward witness and mission. Although this interpretation remains contested across wider New Testament scholarship, the present discussion adopts the Pentecostal reading that Luke portrays Spirit baptism as distinguishable from conversion and functionally directed toward empowerment for missionary witness.³⁵ Spirit baptism, on this reading, is not an inward experience detached from ecclesial vocation; it empowers a community whose identity is expressed through witness.

Luke's narrative subsequently portrays this empowerment-for-witness dynamic across expanding geographical and cultural frontiers. The Samaritan mission demonstrates that the gospel crosses inherited social and religious boundaries, while the emergence of the Antioch community shows how missionary advance develops amid displacement and persecution. Miller's observation that "Samaria was to become a new base for the advance of the gospel into unreached areas"³⁶ captures the centrifugal movement of Luke's narrative. The Spirit's mission therefore cannot be confined to individual experience or congregational preservation. It propels the believing community beyond established centres, forms new missionary communities, and advances witness across boundaries. Prayer, discernment, and

³⁴ Robert P. Menzies, *Empowered for Witness: The Spirit in Luke-Acts* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2001), 177

³⁵ See James D. G. Dunn, *Baptism in the Holy Spirit* (London: SCM Press, 1970); Max Turner, *Power from on High* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1996). In contrast, see Roger Stronstad, *The Charismatic Theology of St. Luke* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1984); Robert P. Menzies, *Empowered for Witness* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2001); Howard M. Ervin, *Conversion-Initiation and the Baptism in the Holy Spirit* (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1984); Denzil R. Miller, *Empowered for Global Mission* (Springfield, MO: Life Publishers International, 2005) and Amos Yong, *The Spirit Poured Out on All Flesh* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2005), who emphasises the ongoing and missional work of the Spirit in the life of the Church. These perspectives collectively support the view that Spirit baptism is both theologically and functionally distinct from conversion, particularly within Pentecostal missional theology.

³⁶ Denzil R. Miller, *Empowered for Global Mission* (Springfield, MO: Life Publishers International, 2005), 150.

attentiveness to the Spirit remain integral to this movement as the Church seeks to recognise and participate in God's missionary initiative.

Against this narrative backdrop, Acts 1:8 functions programmatically by linking the reception of the Holy Spirit with empowerment for witness across expanding geographical and cultural frontiers. Miller treats this empowerment-for-witness motif as a significant interpretive lens for tracing the missionary advance narrated throughout Acts.³⁷ Read from a Pentecostal perspective, the verse does more than anticipate geographical movement from Jerusalem to Judea, Samaria, and the ends of the earth; it establishes a pneumatological logic in which the Church's participation in God's mission depends upon divine empowerment. The subsequent narrative develops this logic through the crossing of social, religious, and ethnic boundaries and the formation of witnessing communities in new contexts. Within the present argument, Spirit baptism is therefore understood as empowerment that forms and propels a missionary people rather than as a privatised spiritual experience.

This pneumatological account also carries implications for missional leadership. If the Spirit empowers the whole witnessing community, leadership cannot be reduced to positional authority, institutional management, or the visibility of exceptional charismatic personalities. Missional leaders are called to cultivate prayerful discernment, ethical accountability, communal participation, and attentiveness to the Spirit's missionary initiative. The account of Ananias and Sapphira further indicates that the Spirit's presence is related not only to power and expansion but also to truthfulness, integrity, and accountability in the believing community. Spirit-empowered leadership must therefore hold together charismatic vitality and ethical formation, missionary expansion and communal integrity, spiritual gifting and accountable participation.

The Spirit's missionary agency is always expressed through particular cultural and social settings. A religious movement cannot be adequately understood apart from the cultural and political environment in which it exists, and Pentecostal mission is no exception. Theological reflection on Spirit empowerment must therefore attend to the settings in which witness occurs and to the ways ecclesial practices both shape and are shaped by surrounding societies. Mission defines the Church's vocation, while the Spirit enables believers to participate in that vocation across cultural boundaries. The commission of Matthew 28:18–20 and the empowerment motif of Acts should thus be held together: the Church is sent to make disciples among the nations and remains dependent upon divine empowerment for faithful witness. This relationship between Spirit, mission, and context provides the basis for considering how Pentecostal communities engage the cultures through which Christian faith is embodied and

³⁷ Miller, *Empowered for Global Mission*, 77–83.

communicated. Ogbu Kalu's account of African Pentecostalism strengthens this contextual emphasis by giving serious attention to the role of African believers in shaping the movement within differing historical and cultural settings. His analysis challenges explanations that place disproportionate weight on external influences and instead directs attention to African Christian agency in the development of Pentecostal life and practice.³⁸

Many cultures grapple with maintaining worship that is both biblically grounded and culturally relevant. The tension lies in identifying the biblical values that should guide worship across diverse cultural contexts. Within every culture, forms of worship are present, shaped by local meanings and expressions. As Bruce L. Bauer aptly points out, "when people worship, it is through their local culture that they express their faith in God and praise their Lord and Saviour through words and images shaped by cultural meanings, feelings, and values."³⁹ Christianity is therefore always expressed and communicated through cultural forms. In a similar vein, Resane emphasises that "theology has always been enculturated, rooted in a given culture utilising its language, symbols, and modes of thought."⁴⁰

Contemporary Leadership Theories and the *Missio Dei*

Contemporary leadership theories can contribute to missional leadership only when they are critically appropriated within the theological conviction that mission originates in God and that the Church participates in this mission through the empowering and directing work of the Holy Spirit. Leadership theory therefore serves as a dialogical resource rather than the controlling framework for ecclesial practice. Franklin's call to reinterpret servant, shepherd, transformational, and missional leadership within glocal contexts is instructive in this regard, since it resists separating theoretical reflection from concrete missionary practice.⁴¹ For African Pentecostal contexts, the critical question is not simply which leadership model is most effective, but how particular theories may illuminate practices of leadership that remain accountable to the *missio Dei*, responsive to context, and open to the Spirit's agency.

The development of leadership theory has generated a wide range of approaches. Benmira and Agboola trace a movement from trait and behavioural theories through situational approaches to later transactional and transformational paradigms, while

³⁸ Ogbu U. Kalu, *African Pentecostalism: An Introduction* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008), 17, 22.

³⁹ Bruce L. Bauer, "Christian Worship and Cultural Diversity: A Missiological Perspective," *Journal of Adventist Mission Studies* 5, no. 2 (2009): 2, <https://digitalcommons.andrews.edu/cgi/>.

⁴⁰ Kelebogile T. Resane, "Theology and Culture in Dialogue Towards Harmonious Multi-Religious and Multi-Cultural South Africa," *In die Skriflig* 55, no. 1 (2021): 5, <https://doi.org/10.4102/ids.v55i1.2764>.

⁴¹ Kirk James Franklin, *A Paradigm for Global Mission Leadership: The Journey of the Wycliffe Global Alliance* (PhD diss., University of Pretoria, 2016), 18, https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/53075/Franklin_Paradigm_2016

Avolio, Walumbwa, and Weber identify an expanded field that includes authentic, transformational, ethical, transactional, shared, cross-cultural, and other forms of leadership.⁴² Given the scope of the present discussion, these theories are not treated as an exhaustive taxonomy. Rather, authentic, transformational, transactional, ethical, and servant leadership are selectively engaged according to their capacity to illuminate dimensions of Spirit-empowered missional praxis. This selective approach also requires theological discernment, since organisational effectiveness cannot itself determine what constitutes faithful leadership in the Church.

Authentic leadership contributes to this discussion through its emphasis on transparent and ethical conduct, openness in decision-making, and attentiveness to followers' contributions.⁴³ These features resonate with the earlier ecclesiological emphasis on communal participation and with the equipping purpose of the Fivefold Ministry. Read through a Pentecostal missional lens, authenticity cannot be reduced to individual self-expression or managerial transparency; it must involve integrity before God and the community, accountable exercise of charismatic authority, and willingness to recognise the Spirit's gifting of others. Authentic leadership therefore becomes missiologically significant where it strengthens trust, shared responsibility, and the participation of the wider body in God's mission.

Transformational and transactional leadership likewise require critical theological appropriation. Avolio and his colleagues describe transformational leadership as involving behaviours that inspire followers beyond self-interest toward a larger organisational good, while transactional leadership operates through exchanges in which rewards are related to performance.⁴⁴ Although these approaches are often contrasted, Tucker notes that they may function in complementary ways within organisational settings.⁴⁵ For missional leadership, transformational insights are valuable where leadership forms people, nurtures vocation, and mobilises communities toward participation in God's mission. Transactional mechanisms may contribute to clarity, responsibility, and accountability, but they become inadequate when ecclesial participation is reduced to performance, compliance, or reward. In Pentecostal contexts, where charismatic authority can exercise substantial influence, the theological criterion must remain whether leadership equips and releases the

⁴² See Sihame Benmira and Moyosolu Agboola, "Evolution of Leadership Theory," *BMJ Leader* 5 (2021): 3–5, <https://doi.org/10.1136/leader-2020-000296>; Bruce J. Avolio, Fred O. Walumbwa, and Todd J. Weber, "Leadership: Current Theories, Research, and Future Directions," *Annual Review of Psychology* 60, no. 1 (2009): 421–449, DOI: 10.1146/ANNUREV.PSYCH.60.110707.163621.

⁴³ Avolio, Walumbwa, and Weber, *Leadership*, 423.

⁴⁴ Avolio, Walumbwa, and Weber, *Leadership*, 427.

⁴⁵ R. Tucker, "Using Business Leadership Models to Analyse Leadership Stances in a Developmental Organisation in the Cape Flats," *Acta Theologica* 41, no. 1 (2021): 141–175, <http://dx.doi.org/10.18820/23099089/actat.v41i1.9>.

community for Spirit-enabled participation rather than consolidating dependence upon the leader.

Ethical leadership sharpens this criterion by locating character and accountable conduct at the centre of leadership practice. Avolio, Walumbwa, and Weber associate ethical leadership with normatively appropriate conduct expressed through personal action, interpersonal relationships, and the encouragement of such conduct among followers.⁴⁶ This emphasis corresponds with the pneumatological argument developed earlier, where the Spirit's presence is related not only to charismatic power and missionary expansion but also to truthfulness, integrity, and communal accountability. Ethical leadership is therefore not an external managerial addition to Pentecostal spirituality. It is integral to credible participation in the *missio Dei*, particularly where claims to spiritual authority must be tested by the character, accountability, and communal consequences of leadership practice.

Servant leadership provides a further point of convergence between leadership theory and Christian participation in God's mission. Although no universally accepted theory of servant leadership exists⁴⁷, Greenleaf's influential formulation begins with the disposition to serve before aspiring to lead.⁴⁸ This orientation resonates with Osei-Mensah's argument that biblical leadership is fundamentally appointment to service.⁴⁹ Yet a missional appropriation of servant leadership must move beyond the language of personal humility alone. In light of the Church's participation in the *missio Dei*, service entails using authority to equip others, nurture mature discipleship, cultivate shared responsibility, and resist forms of domination that weaken the agency of the believing community. Servant leadership thus converges with the earlier Fivefold Ministry discussion: leadership fulfils its ecclesial and missionary purpose not by concentrating ministry in exceptional personalities but by forming and releasing others for participation in God's mission.

This critical appropriation of contemporary leadership theories reinforces rather than displaces the pneumatological argument developed above. Authentic leadership contributes transparency and accountable participation; transformational leadership highlights formation and mobilisation; transactional leadership offers limited resources for responsibility and organisational clarity; ethical leadership foregrounds integrity; and servant leadership directs authority toward service and the flourishing of others. None of these theories is sufficient as a self-standing model for African

⁴⁶ Avolio, Walumbwa, and Weber, *Leadership*, 424.

⁴⁷ Adam Focht and Michael Ponton, "Identifying Primary Characteristics of Servant Leadership: Delphi Study," *International Journal of Leadership Studies* 9, no. 1 (2015), 45, <https://www.regent.edu/acad/global/publications/ijls/new/vol9iss1/1-IJLS.pdf>.

⁴⁸ Robert K. Greenleaf, *Servant Leadership: A Journey into the Nature of Legitimate Power and Greatness* (New York: Paulist Press, 1977), 13.

⁴⁹ Gottfried Osei-Mensah, *Wanted: Servant Leader* (Achimota, Ghana: African Christian Press, 1990), 9.

Pentecostal missional leadership. Their value lies in their selective integration within a Spirit-empowered ecclesiology in which leadership is judged by its capacity to equip the community, sustain ethical accountability, discern context, and facilitate faithful participation in the *missio Dei*. This theological integration provides the basis for examining how such commitments are embodied within the organisational praxis of African Pentecostal churches.

Missional Leadership and Organisational Praxis in African Pentecostal Contexts

The critical appropriation of contemporary leadership theories developed above requires concrete expression in the organisational life of African Pentecostal churches. Organisational praxis is not external to the Church's participation in the *missio Dei*, since patterns of decision-making, accountability, leadership formation, resource stewardship, and communal participation can either enable or constrain faithful witness. Yet organisational effectiveness cannot serve as the ultimate criterion of missional leadership. From a Pentecostal perspective, structures and strategies remain accountable to the Spirit's agency and to the ecclesial vocation of forming a mature, discerning, and witnessing community. The central question is therefore not simply whether a church possesses effective organisational systems, but whether its practices enable leaders and communities to discern and participate faithfully in God's mission.

Strategic leadership has a legitimate but subordinate place within this theological framework. Churches require clarity of purpose, responsible planning, and the capacity to respond to changing social and cultural environments. Hughes' emphasis on strategic action as part of an ongoing learning process is useful here, particularly where leadership must assess direction, act, and evaluate progress.⁵⁰ Similarly, Collins and Porras emphasise the importance of organisational alignment in sustaining core commitments while pursuing an envisioned future.⁵¹ For African Pentecostal churches, however, strategic alignment should not be reduced to institutional expansion, numerical growth, financial performance, or the preservation of a leader's personal vision. Missional alignment concerns the continuing relationship between ecclesial identity, Spirit-led discernment, communal participation, and the Church's vocation in the *missio Dei*. Strategy becomes theologically significant when it serves mission rather than allowing institutional survival or expansion to define mission.

Vision and mission must consequently be subjected to the same theological discernment. Organisational literature rightly recognises that shared purpose can strengthen commitment and coordinate action, and Yukl's discussion of task

⁵⁰ Richard L. Hughes and Katherine Colarelli Beatty, *Becoming a Strategic Leader: Your Role in Your Organization's Enduring Success* (San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2005), 83.

⁵¹ James C. Collins and Jerry I. Porras, *Built to Last: Successful Habits of Visionary Companies* (New York: HarperCollins, 2002), 221.

commitment highlights the relationship between commitment and performance.⁵² Warren similarly stresses the orienting function of a clear purpose.⁵³ Yet Pentecostal missional leadership cannot equate vision with the ambitions of a charismatic founder or assume that organisational commitment is identical with faithfulness to God's mission. Vision requires communal discernment because the Spirit's agency is not confined to the senior leader. The earlier discussion of the Fivefold Ministry is pertinent at this point because differentiated gifts contribute to the equipping of the whole body, so missional direction should emerge through practices that recognise multiple forms of Spirit-enabled insight, theological wisdom, and contextual knowledge.

Organisational culture is equally significant because leadership values become embodied in repeated practices, relationships, and expectations. Kouzes and Posner's emphasis on the formative influence of values helps explain why declared commitments alone cannot sustain institutional identity.⁵⁴ In Pentecostal settings, the decisive issue is whether organisational culture embodies the theological claims the Church makes about the Spirit, community, and mission. A church may confess the gifting of the whole body while maintaining practices that concentrate decision-making in a single personality; it may proclaim servant leadership while normalising unaccountable authority; or it may celebrate spiritual vitality while neglecting structures for leadership development and succession. Organisational culture should therefore be evaluated according to whether it nurtures integrity, participation, accountability, and the formation of others for ministry.

This emphasis on participation also reframes the place of people in organisational praxis. Kreitner's account of differentiated organisational roles and the systems perspective associated with Hersey, Blanchard, and Johnson draw attention to interdependence within organisational life.⁵⁵ Mullers likewise emphasises the importance of understanding organisational culture in the management of people.⁵⁶ These insights are useful but require ecclesiological reinterpretation. Members of a Pentecostal church are not simply human resources to be deployed efficiently; they are participants in the body of Christ whose gifts, vocations, and capacities contribute to the Church's witness. The organisational task of leadership therefore includes identifying, equipping, mentoring, and releasing others rather than treating them

⁵² Gary Yukl, *Leadership in Organizations* (New York: Prentice Hall, 2013), 409.

⁵³ Rick Warren, *The Purpose Driven Church* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1995), 115.

⁵⁴ James M. Kouzes and Barry Z. Posner, *The Leadership Challenge* (San Francisco, CA: Wiley & Sons, 2012), 49.

⁵⁵ See Robert Kreitner, *Management* (Boston, NY: Houghton Mifflin Company, 2009), 283; Paul Hersey, Kenneth H. Blanchard and Dewey E. Johnson, *Management of Organization Behavior: Utilizing Human Resources* (Upper Saddle, NJ: Prentice Hall Inc.1996), 13.

⁵⁶ Laurie J. Mullers, *Management and Organizational Behavior* (Edinburgh Gate: UK. Pearson Education Ltd, 2013), 135.

primarily as instruments for achieving institutional objectives. At this point, organisational praxis converges directly with the equipping logic of Ephesians 4:11–13 and the Fivefold Ministry discussed earlier.

The relationship between mission and leadership preparation also finds a broader African Pentecostal resonance in Kalu's account of the movement. His treatment relates Pentecostal expansion to changing forms of evangelistic practice and the preparation of leaders for developing ministry contexts.⁵⁷ This perspective reinforces the need to view leadership formation not simply as an internal organisational requirement but as part of the Church's capacity for sustained missionary participation.

The participatory and formative dimensions of organisational praxis are especially significant for leadership continuity and intergenerational formation. Tagwirei's analysis of African Pentecostalism identifies serious challenges surrounding leadership development, succession planning, and the connection between present and future generations of leaders.⁵⁸ Asamoah-Gyadu identifies comparable vulnerabilities in his discussion of African Independent Churches, relating their decline in part to inadequate preparation for leadership succession, weak intergenerational continuity, and failures of accountability.⁵⁹ These concerns expose the limitations of organisational systems that depend excessively upon founding personalities or fail to cultivate credible pathways for emerging leadership. Mentorship should therefore be understood as more than a technique for institutional continuity. It is a form of missional formation through which theological convictions, ethical practices, ministerial wisdom, and responsibility for participation in God's mission are cultivated across generations. Transformational leadership contributes to this process where it encourages growth, initiative, and shared responsibility, but its missional value depends upon whether followers are formed as mature participants rather than permanent dependants of charismatic leaders.

Accountability provides a further bridge between organisational praxis and Pentecostal pneumatology. The earlier discussion of Ananias and Sapphira demonstrated that the Spirit's presence in Acts is associated not only with power for witness but also with truthfulness and communal integrity. Organisational structures of accountability should therefore not be viewed as signs of diminished spirituality or as secular constraints upon charismatic authority. Properly constituted, they can serve the Church's theological commitments by encouraging responsible stewardship, transparent decision-making, and answerability within the believing community.

⁵⁷ Kalu, *African Pentecostalism*, 123–136.

⁵⁸ Tagwirei, *Battling with the Baton*, 1–3.

⁵⁹ J. Kwabena Asamoah-Gyadu, "Spirit and Empowerment," 36.

Ethical and transactional insights may assist in clarifying responsibilities and expectations, but accountability must remain relational, communal, and directed toward faithful participation in the *missio Dei* rather than institutional control alone.

Servant leadership draws these organisational and theological concerns toward a distinctly Christian understanding of authority. Missional leaders are called to exercise authority through service, humility, and the formation of others. Yanofchick's argument that servant leadership can cultivate a culture in which people become partners rather than resources at the disposal of managers is especially relevant to ecclesial contexts.⁶⁰ Read alongside the Fivefold Ministry and Pentecostal pneumatology, servant leadership resists both managerial instrumentalisation and the concentration of ministry around exceptional personalities. Its purpose is not simply to produce a more harmonious organisation but to cultivate a community in which people are dignified, equipped, entrusted with responsibility, and released for participation in God's mission.

Organisational praxis in African Pentecostal churches should therefore be understood as the embodied expression of Spirit-empowered missional leadership. Strategic discernment, vision, organisational culture, accountability, mentorship, and servant leadership retain practical value, but none should operate independently of ecclesiology and pneumatology. Their missional legitimacy depends upon whether they strengthen communal participation, ethical integrity, intergenerational formation, contextual responsiveness, and faithful witness. This integrated approach moves beyond a parallel use of theology and management theory by locating organisational practice within the Spirit's work of forming and sending the Church. The theological, ecclesial, ethical, formative, and contextual insights developed across the preceding discussion now provide the basis for articulating a constructive Spirit-empowered missional leadership framework.

A Constructive Spirit-Empowered Missional Leadership Framework

The preceding analysis yields a constructive Spirit-empowered missional leadership framework for African Pentecostal contexts. Rather than adding another leadership theory to existing organisational models, the framework integrates five interrelated dimensions developed across the argument: pneumatological grounding, ecclesial participation, ethical accountability, formative leadership, and contextual discernment. Pneumatological grounding locates leadership within the empowering, directing, and corrective agency of the Holy Spirit rather than in charismatic personality or managerial competence alone. Ecclesial participation draws on the equipping logic of Ephesians 4:11–13 by directing differentiated gifts toward the

⁶⁰ Brian Yanofchick, "Mission and Leadership—Servant Leadership: Bring It Home," *Health Progress* (September–October 2007): 6, <https://www.chausa.org/publications/health-progress/article>.

maturity and missionary agency of the whole body. Ethical accountability subjects spiritual authority to truthfulness, communal responsibility, transparent practice, and answerability. Formative leadership joins mentorship, theological formation, servant leadership, and transformational insights in order to cultivate mature participants and credible intergenerational pathways. Contextual discernment requires leaders to interpret changing cultural and social realities while remaining critically accountable to the Church's participation in the *missio Dei*.

Figure 1 presents these five dimensions as mutually dependent elements of a Spirit-empowered missional leadership framework. The visual arrangement places participation in the *missio Dei* at the centre while showing that pneumatological grounding, ecclesial participation, ethical accountability, formative leadership, and contextual discernment operate relationally rather than sequentially.



Figure 1. A Constructive Spirit-Empowered Missional Leadership Framework

Source: Developed by the author from the analysis presented in this study.

The framework gains its coherence from the interaction among these five dimensions. Spirit empowerment without accountability may legitimise concentrated or authoritarian authority; ecclesial participation without formation may leave emerging leaders insufficiently prepared for responsibility; mentorship without intentional succession pathways may reproduce dependence upon founding personalities; organisational competence without pneumatological and theological discernment may reduce mission to institutional expansion; and contextual responsiveness without critical judgement may become accommodation to prevailing social or

religious expectations. The framework therefore evaluates leadership not primarily by visibility, numerical growth, institutional reach, or charismatic influence, but by whether it forms a discerning, accountable, participatory, and missionary community.

Applied to recurring leadership vulnerabilities identified in the preceding discussion, the framework offers a constructive response without suggesting that such problems characterise all African Pentecostal churches uniformly. Concentrated authority is challenged by communal discernment, differentiated gifting, and the equipping of the wider body; succession fragility is addressed through mentorship, theological formation, intergenerational accompaniment, and the intentional release of emerging leaders; failures of integrity are confronted through ethical accountability and transparent communal practices; and excessive dependence on institutional expansion is corrected by locating strategy within Spirit-led participation in God's mission. The framework's distinctive contribution therefore lies in holding together dimensions that are often separated: Spirit empowerment and accountability, charismatic gifting and communal participation, leadership formation and succession, organisational competence and theological discernment, contextual responsiveness and missional faithfulness.

Implications for Missional Leadership in African Pentecostal Contexts

The constructive framework developed above carries several implications for leadership formation, ecclesial participation, public witness, and organisational discernment in African Pentecostal contexts. These implications acquire particular urgency amid the rapid expansion and increasing public presence of Pentecostal Christianity across Africa. Pentecostal growth is neither accidental nor reducible to a single cause but reflects intersecting spiritual, social, economic, and institutional dynamics.⁶¹ Diara and Onah identify a range of factors associated with this expansion, including leadership ambition, aspirations for material prosperity, pastoral care, flexibility in liturgical and cultural expression, and emphasis on manifestations of the Holy Spirit such as healing, miracles, and spiritual gifts.⁶² Their analysis draws

⁶¹ See Ogbu U. Kalu, *African Pentecostalism: An Introduction* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008); Allan Anderson, *An Introduction to Pentecostalism: Global Charismatic Christianity*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014); J. Kwabena Asamoah-Gyadu, *African Charismatics: Current Developments within Independent Indigenous Pentecostalism in Ghana* (Leiden: Brill, 2005); and Afe Adogame, "African Christianities: Features, Promises and Problems," in *The Routledge Companion to Christianity in Africa*, ed. Elias K. Bongmba (London: Routledge, 2016). Empirical studies further corroborate this multidimensional growth, emphasizing factors such as urbanisation, socio-economic challenges, and the appeal of healing and deliverance ministries; see David Maxwell, "African Gifts of the Spirit: Pentecostalism and the Rise of a Zimbabwean Transnational Religious Movement," *Journal of Religion in Africa* 35, no. 1 (2005): 1–35; and Paul Gifford, *Christianity, Development and Modernity in Africa* (London: Hurst, 2015).

⁶² Benjamin C. D. Diara and Nkechinyere G. Onah, "The Phenomenal Growth of Pentecostalism in Contemporary Nigerian Society: A Challenge to Mainline Churches," *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 5, no. 6 (2014): 398, Doi:10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n6p395.

attention to the complex environments in which African Pentecostal leadership operates. Read through the proposed framework, these dynamics require leaders to hold Spirit empowerment together with ethical accountability, contextual discernment, ecclesial participation, and formative responsibility, especially where spiritual aspirations, social pressures, institutional interests, and material expectations intersect.

A first implication concerns the practice of contextual discernment. The framework requires African Pentecostal leaders to interpret changing cultural, social, and ecclesial realities without separating such discernment from the Spirit's missionary agency. Pentecostal freedom in the Spirit has contributed to a notable capacity for adaptation across differing cultural and social settings.⁶³ Anderson connects such contextual relevance directly with the Church's wider social vocation, observing that "the more relevant the church in the Third World becomes to its cultural and social context, the more prepared it will be to serve the wider society."⁶⁴ Yet adaptability requires theological judgement if contextual responsiveness is to avoid uncritical accommodation to prevailing religious, social, or material expectations. The practical implication is therefore not contextual flexibility alone, but Spirit-attentive and theologically critical discernment.

A second implication concerns the redistribution of ecclesial agency through formation and participation. If the Fivefold Ministry is governed by the equipping logic of Ephesians 4:11–13, leadership development cannot be confined to preparing future office-holders or sustaining prominent personalities. African Pentecostal churches require practices through which diverse gifts are recognised, emerging leaders are mentored, theological and ministerial capacities are cultivated, and responsibility for witness is shared across generations. This has direct significance for the succession vulnerabilities discussed earlier: the Church's missionary continuity is strengthened when leaders intentionally form and release others rather than reproducing dependency upon exceptional individuals.

A third implication concerns the public and relational credibility of Pentecostal mission. The framework's integration of pneumatological grounding, ethical accountability, and ecclesial participation means that participation in the *missio Dei* cannot be measured by evangelistic proclamation or institutional expansion alone. The Church also bears witness through the quality of its communal life and its engagement with human need. The *koinonia* of the early Christian community points towards forms of fellowship that cross social, economic, and ethnic boundaries, while

⁶³ Allan H. Anderson, "The Gospel and Culture in Pentecostal Mission in the Third World," *Missionalia* 27, no. 2 (August 1999): 221.

⁶⁴ Allan H. Anderson, "The Gospel and Culture in Pentecostal Mission in the Third World," 227.

diaconal service gives tangible expression to the reconciling and restorative dimensions of the gospel. In African Pentecostal contexts, this calls for leadership that connects Spirit empowerment with dignity, care, reconciliation, and transformative engagement in society. Missional credibility is therefore tested not only by growth or charismatic vitality but also by the communities, relationships, and forms of public responsibility that leadership helps to cultivate.

A fourth implication concerns the theological governance of organisational strategy. The framework does not reject strategic planning, organisational competence, or leadership theory; it subjects them to the *missio Dei*. Churches should therefore evaluate strategies not only by efficiency, growth, financial sustainability, or institutional reach, but by whether they strengthen communal participation, ethical accountability, leadership formation, contextual faithfulness, and witness. This requires organisational resources to be used critically within practices of prayer, theological discernment, communal accountability, and attentiveness to the Spirit's missionary initiative.

Conclusion: Toward Spirit-Empowered Missional Leadership

This study has argued that missional leadership in African Pentecostal contexts is best understood as a Spirit-empowered praxis through which leaders and ecclesial communities participate in the *missio Dei*. By bringing ecclesiological models, Pentecostal pneumatology, African Pentecostal scholarship, the equipping logic of Ephesians 4:11–13, and selected contemporary leadership theories into critical synthesis, the discussion has shown that neither charismatic vitality nor organisational effectiveness is sufficient as a basis for faithful missional leadership. Dulles' ecclesiological models remain valuable heuristic resources, but their constructive significance emerges through critical reinterpretation within a Pentecostal account of the Spirit's agency in forming, directing, empowering, and correcting the Church.

The central contribution of the study is the constructive Spirit-empowered missional leadership framework developed around five interrelated dimensions: pneumatological grounding, ecclesial participation, ethical accountability, formative leadership, and contextual discernment. These dimensions are mutually dependent. Spirit empowerment requires ethical accountability; differentiated gifting should equip the wider ecclesial body; leadership formation must cultivate credible pathways for succession and intergenerational continuity; organisational competence remains subordinate to theological and pneumatological discernment; and contextual responsiveness requires critical judgement if it is to sustain missional faithfulness. The framework therefore responds to recurring leadership vulnerabilities, including

concentrated authority, succession fragility, failures of integrity, dependence upon exceptional personalities, and the reduction of mission to institutional expansion.

For African Pentecostal churches, the implications are both ecclesial and public. Missional leadership should form communities in which diverse gifts are recognised, emerging leaders are mentored and released, authority is exercised accountably, and organisational practices serve participation in God's mission. Such leadership also requires attentiveness to changing cultural and social realities without surrendering theological discernment. The future credibility and missional vitality of African Pentecostalism therefore depend not simply on continued numerical growth, charismatic influence, or institutional reach, but on the formation of discerning, accountable, participatory, and missionary communities whose life and witness embody the transformative work of the Holy Spirit in both Church and society.

Note on Copyright

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, adaptation, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Bibliography

- Anderson, Allan H. "African Initiated Churches of the Spirit and Pneumatology." *Word & World* 23, no. 2 (Spring 2003): 178-186. <https://wordandworld.luthersem.edu/issue/the-holy-spirit/>.
- Anderson, Allan H. "The Gospel and Culture in Pentecostal Mission in the Third World." *Missionalia* 27, no. 2 (August 1999): 220-230. https://journals.co.za/doi/pdf/10.10520/AJA02569507_1278.
- Asamoah-Gyadu, J. Kwabena. "Spirit and Empowerment." In *African Initiated Christianity and the Decolonisation of Development: Sustainable Development in Pentecostal and Independent Churches*, edited by Philipp Öhlmann, Wilhelm Gräb, and Marie-Luise Frost, 33-50. London: Routledge, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367823825>.
- Avolio, Bruce J., Fred O. Walumbwa, and Todd J. Weber. "Leadership: Current Theories, Research, and Future Directions." *Annual Review of Psychology* 60, no. 1 (2009): 421-449. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163621>.
- Barry, Stephen. "The Year of Jubilee: A Hermeneutic for Social and Moral Transformation in South Africa." *In die Skriflig* 45, no. 4 (2011): 868-897. <http://www.scielo.org.za/scielo.php>.

- Bauer, Bruce L., "Christian Worship and Cultural Diversity: A Missiological Perspective," *Journal of Adventist Mission Studies* 5, no. 2 (2009): 34-40. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.32597/jams/vol5/iss2/5/>.
- Benmira, Sihame, and Moyosolu Agboola. "Evolution of Leadership Theory." *BMJ Leader* 5 (2021): 3-5. <https://doi.org/10.1136/leader-2020-000296>.
- Bandow, Doug. *Beyond Intentions: A Biblical View of Politics*. Westchester, IL: Crossway Books, 1988.
- Collins, James C., and Jerry I. Porras. *Built to Last: Successful Habits of Visionary Companies*. New York: HarperCollins, 2002.
- Diara, Benjamin C. D., and Nkechinyere G. Onah. "The Phenomenal Growth of Pentecostalism in Contemporary Nigerian Society: A Challenge to Mainline Churches." *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 5, no. 6 (2014): 395-402. Doi:10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n6p395.
- Dulles, Avery. *Models of the Church*. New York: Doubleday, 1986.
- Franklin, Kirk James, *A Paradigm for Global Mission Leadership: The Journey of the Wycliffe Global Alliance* (PhD diss., University of Pretoria, 2016), 57, https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/53075/Franklin_Paradigm_2016.
- Focht, Adam, and Michael Ponton. "Identifying Primary Characteristics of Servant Leadership: Delphi Study." *International Journal of Leadership Studies* 9, no. 1 (2015). <https://www.regent.edu/acad/global/publications/ijls/new/vol9iss1/1-IJLS.pdf>.
- Greenleaf, Robert K. *Servant Leadership: A Journey into the Nature of Legitimate Power and Greatness*. New York: Paulist Press, 1977.
- Hersey, Paul, Kenneth H. Blanchard and Dewey E. Johnson. *Management of Organization Behavior: Utilizing Human Resources*. Upper Saddle, NJ: Prentice Hall Inc., 1996.
- Hughes, Richard L., and Katherine Colarelli Beatty. *Becoming a Strategic Leader: Your Role in Your Organization's Enduring Success*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2005.
- Kalu, Ogbu U. *African Pentecostalism: An Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.

- Kouzes, James M., and Barry Z. Posner. *The Leadership Challenge*. San Francisco, CA: Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- Kreitner, Robert. *Management*. Boston, NY: Houghton Mifflin Company, 2009.
- Menzies, Robert P. *Empowered for Witness: The Spirit in Luke-Acts*, (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2001.
- Miller, Denzil R. *Teaching in the Spirit*. Springfield, MO: AIA Publications, 2009.
- _____. *Empowered for Global Mission*. Springfield, MO: Life Publishers International, 2005.
- Muana, Lal Thla. *Missional Leadership: A Case Study of the Representative Congregations of Methodist, Presbyterian, and Other Churches in Tahan-Kalaymyo, Sagaing Region*. (PhD diss., Asbury Theological Seminary, 2017). <https://place.asburyseminary.edu/economicsdissertations/1121/> (accessed 21 April 2026).
- Niemandt, Nelus. "Missional Leadership," *HTS Religion & Society Series* 7. Cape Town: AOSIS, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.4102/aosis.2019.BK108.05>.
- Osei-Mensah, Gottfried, *Wanted: Servant Leader*. Achimota, Ghana: African Christian Press, 1990.
- Stinghe, Ioan. "The Holistic Development of Society: The Contributions of the Christian Church." In *Proceedings of the Harvard Square Symposium: The Holistic Society – Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, vol. 3, 86–97. Princeton: NJ: Research Association for Interdisciplinary Studies, 2017. <https://zenodo.org/record/1435117/files/006.pdf>.
- Tagwirei, K., 2023, 'Battling with the baton: (Dis)connecting today and tomorrow's leaders in African Pentecostalism', *HTS Theologiese Studies/Theological Studies* 79(1), a9179. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v79i1.9179>.
- Tucker, R. "Using Business Leadership Models to Analyse Leadership Stances in a Developmental Organisation in the Cape Flats," *Acta Theologica* 41, no. 1 (2021): 141–175, <http://dx.doi.org/10.18820/23099089/actat.v41i1.9>.
- Turner, Paul. "Understanding Organisational Dynamics." In *Leadership in Healthcare: Organizational Behaviour in Health Care*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-04387-2_8.
- Van Engen, Charles. *God's Missionary People*. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Baker Book House, 1991.

- Warren, Rick. *The Purpose Driven Church*. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan, 1995.
- White, Peter. "A Missional Study of Ghanaian Pentecostal Churches' Leadership and Leadership Formation." *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies* 71, no. 3 (2015): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v71i3.2865>.
- Wright, Christopher J. H. *Knowing Jesus Through the Old Testament*. 2nd Edition. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2014).
- Yanofchick, Brian. "Mission and Leadership – Servant Leadership: Bring It Home." *Health Progress* (September–October 2007). <https://www.chausa.org/publications/health-progress/article> (accessed 19 November 2025).
- York, John V. *Missions from the Two Thirds Word. A Study Guide*. Springfield, MO: Global University, 2001.
- Yukl, Gary. *Leadership in Organizations*. New York: Prentice Hall, 2013.